arXiv:math/0407013v3 [math.CA] 20 Jul 2004

A PROOF OF THE BOCHNER-RIESZ CONJECTURE

Yong-Cheol Kim

Abstract. For $f \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we consider the Bochner-Riesz operator $\mathfrak{R}^\delta$ of index $\delta > 0$ defined by

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{R}^\delta f}(\xi) = (1 - |\xi|^2)_+^\delta \, \hat{f}(\xi).$$

Then we prove the Bochner-Riesz conjecture which states that if $\delta > \max\{d|1/p - 1/2| - 1/2, 0\}$ and $p > 1$ then $\mathfrak{R}^\delta$ is a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$; moreover, if $\delta(p) = d(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$ and $1 < p < 2d/(d+1)$, then $\mathfrak{R}^{\delta(p)}$ is a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

1. Introduction.

Let $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the Schwartz space on $\mathbb{R}^d$. For $f \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we denote the Fourier transform of f by

$$\mathcal{F}[f](x) = \hat{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle} f(\xi)\, d\xi.$$

Then the inverse Fourier transform of f is given by

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}[f](x) = \check{f}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle} f(\xi)\, d\xi.$$

For $f \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we consider the Bochner-Riesz operator $\mathfrak{R}^\delta$ of index $\delta > 0$ defined by

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{R}^\delta f}(\xi) = (1 - |\xi|^2)_+^\delta \, \hat{f}(\xi).$$

For $f \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we consider Bochner-Riesz means $\mathfrak{R}_t^\delta$ of index $\delta > 0$ defined by

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{R}_t^\delta f}(\xi) = \left(1 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{t}\right)_+^\delta \hat{f}(\xi).$$

We introduce a historical progress which has been made on the Bochner-Riesz conjecture. In 1954, C. Herz [6] proved that if $\delta < \max\{d|1/p - 1/2| - 1/2, 0\}$ and $p > 1$, then $\mathfrak{R}^\delta$ is not bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. C. Fefferman showed in [3] that if $\delta > \max\{d|1/p - 1/2| - 1/2, (d-1)/4\}$ and $p > 1$, then $\mathfrak{R}^\delta$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Two years later than this, L. Carleson and P. Sjölin [1], C. Fefferman [5], and L. Hörmander [7] proved that this conjecture holds in the planar case. P. Sjölin showed that if $\delta > \max\{3|1/p - 1/2| - 1/2, 1/4\}$ and $p > 1$, then $\mathfrak{R}^\delta$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$. This result has been sharpened by P. Tomas [11] to $\delta > \max\{d|1/p - 1/2| - 1/2, (d-1)/[2(d+1)]\}$ and $p > 1$ (refer to [2] for another proof). Strikingly, C. Fefferman [4] also proved that $\mathfrak{R}^0$ is never bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ unless $d = 1$ or $p = 2$, which unexpectedly is not the limit case of the Bochner-Riesz conjecture. Recently, T. Tao [10] obtained an interesting result that the Bochner-Riesz conjecture implies the Restriction conjecture.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B15, 42B25.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

In what follows we shall denote by $\mathcal{B}(\xi_0;r)\fallingdotseq\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d:\,|\xi-\xi_0|\le r\}$ the ball with center $\xi_0\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and radius $r>0$. Given two quantities A and B, we write $A\lesssim B$ or $B\gtrsim A$ if there is a positive constant c (possibly depending only on the dimension d and the index p to be given) such that $A\le cB$. We also write $A\sim B$ if $A\lesssim B$ and $B\lesssim A$. For a multi-index $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_d)\in(\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\})^d$, we denote its size $|\alpha|$ by $|\alpha|\fallingdotseq\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\cdots+\alpha_d$.

Theorem 1.1. *If $\delta>\max\{d|1/p-1/2|-1/2,0\}$ and $p>1$, then we have the uniform estimates*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathfrak{R}^\delta f(x)|^p\,dx\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x)|^p\,dx.$$

Moreover, if $\delta(p)=d(1/p-1/2)-1/2$ and $1<p<\frac{2d}{d+1}$, then we obtain the following weak type estimates

$$\Big|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^d:\,|\mathfrak{R}^{\delta(p)}f(x)|>\lambda\}\Big|\lesssim\frac{1}{\lambda^p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x)|^p\,dx,\ \lambda>0.$$

Corollary 1.2. *If $\delta>\max\{d|1/p-1/2|-1/2,0\}$ and $p>1$, then the Bochner-Riesz means $\mathfrak{R}^\delta_t f$ converges to f in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as $t\to\infty$, for any $f\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

2. Preliminary estimates.

First of all, we prove weak-L^p ($1<p\le 2$) variants of a useful lemma [9] due to E. M. Stein and N. J. Weiss on summing up weak-L^1 type functions.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $1<p\le 2$ be given. Suppose that $\{\mathfrak{h}_k\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions on a subset Ω of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that*

$$|\{x\in\Omega:\,\mathfrak{h}_k(x)>\lambda\}|\le\frac{A}{\lambda^p},\ \lambda>0,$$

where $A>0$ is a constant. If $\{a_k\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers with $\|\{a_k\}\|_{\ell^1}\le 1$, then we have the following estimate

$$\Big|\{x\in\Omega:\,\sum_k a_k\mathfrak{h}_k(x)>\lambda\}\Big|\lesssim\frac{2^p\,p}{p-1}\,\|\{a_k\}\|_{\ell^1}\,\frac{A}{\lambda^p},\ \lambda>0.$$

Proof. Fix $\lambda>0$. For $k\in\mathbb{N}$, set $\mathfrak{l}_k=\mathfrak{h}_k\,\chi_{\mathcal{L}_k}$, $\mathfrak{m}_k=\mathfrak{h}_k\,\chi_{\mathcal{M}_k}$, and $\mathfrak{u}_k=\mathfrak{h}_k\,\chi_{\mathcal{U}_k}$ where

$$\mathcal{L}_k=\{x\in\Omega:\,\mathfrak{h}_k(x)<\lambda/2\},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_k=\{x\in\Omega:\,\lambda/2\le\mathfrak{h}_k(x)\le\lambda/2\,a_k\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_k=\{x\in\Omega:\,\mathfrak{h}_k(x)>\lambda/2\,a_k\}.$$

Then we have that $\mathfrak{l}(x)\fallingdotseq\sum_k a_k\,\mathfrak{l}_k(x)<\lambda/2$ and $\mathfrak{u}(x)\fallingdotseq\sum_k a_k\,\mathfrak{u}_k(x)=0$ except on $\mathcal{E}_1\fallingdotseq\cup_k\mathcal{U}_k$, which has

$$|\mathcal{E}_1|\le\frac{2^pA}{\lambda^p}\,\|\{a_k\}\|^p_{\ell^p}\le\frac{2^p}{p-1}\,\|\{a_k\}\|^p_{\ell^p}\,\frac{A}{\lambda^p}.$$

So we have that

$$\begin{aligned}|\{x\in\Omega:\,\mathfrak{l}(x)+\mathfrak{u}(x)>\lambda/2\}|&\le|\{x\in\Omega:\,\mathfrak{l}(x)>\lambda/2\}|+|\{x\in\Omega:\,\mathfrak{u}(x)>0\}|\\&\le|\mathcal{E}_1|\le\frac{2^p}{p-1}\,\|\{a_k\}\|^p_{\ell^p}\,\frac{A}{\lambda^p}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mu_k(\alpha) = |\{x \in \Omega : \mathfrak{h}_k(x) > \alpha\}|$ for $\alpha > 0$ and let $\mathfrak{m}(x) = \sum_k a_k \, \mathfrak{m}_k(x)$. Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \mathfrak{m}(x)\,dx &= \sum_k a_k \int_\Omega \mathfrak{m}_k(x)\,dx = -\sum_k a_k \int_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^{\frac{\lambda}{2a_k}} \alpha \, d\mu_k(\alpha) \\ &= \sum_k a_k \left(\int_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^{\frac{\lambda}{2a_k}} \mu_k(\alpha)\,d\alpha - [\alpha\,\mu_k(\alpha)]_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^{\frac{\lambda}{2a_k}} \right) \\ &\le \sum_k a_k \left(\int_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^{\frac{\lambda}{2a_k}} \frac{A}{\alpha^p}\,d\alpha + \frac{2^{p-1}A}{\lambda^{p-1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{A}{p-1} \sum_k a_k \left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{1-p} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{2a_k}\right)^{1-p} \right] + \frac{2^{p-1}A}{\lambda^{p-1}} \, \|\{a_k\}\|_{\ell^1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned}|\{x \in \Omega : \mathfrak{m}(x) > \lambda/2\}| &\le \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_\Omega \mathfrak{m}(x)\,dx \\ &\le \frac{2^p}{p-1} \left(\|\{a_k\}\|_{\ell^1} - \|\{a_k\}\|_{\ell^p}^p \right) \frac{A}{\lambda^p} + \frac{2^p A}{\lambda^p} \, \|\{a_k\}\|_{\ell^1} \\ &\le \frac{2^p\,p}{p-1} \, \|\{a_k\}\|_{\ell^1} \, \frac{A}{\lambda^p} - \frac{2^p}{p-1} \, \|\{a_k\}\|_{\ell^p}^p \, \frac{A}{\lambda^p}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Therefore we complete the proof by summing up (2.1) and (2.2). □

For $\delta > 0$, let us consider the Bochner-Riesz kernel $\mathcal{K}^\delta$ defined by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}^\delta}(\xi) = (1 - |\xi|^2)_+^\delta.$$

From standard estimates on the Bessel functions J_ν ($\nu > -1$), it is well-known that

$$\mathcal{K}^\delta(x) = \Gamma(\delta+1)\, 2^{\frac{d}{2}+\delta} \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \, \frac{J_{\frac{d}{2}+\delta}(|x|)}{|x|^{\frac{d}{2}+\delta}}.$$

Furthermore, it easily follows from the asymptotic result (see [12]) of $J_{\frac{d}{2}+\delta}(|x|)$ that

$$\mathcal{K}^\delta(x) \sim \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{\delta + \frac{d+1}{2}}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Next, we shall show that at the critical index $\delta(p) = d(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$ ($1 < p < 2d/(d+1)$) the following summation of N-th derivatives

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \, \mathcal{D}^\alpha \mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}(x)$$

of the Bochner-Riesz kernel $\mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}$ has the same decay as that of the kernel $\mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}$ with the constant not depending upon $N \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_d) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d$ is a multi-index, $\alpha! = \alpha_1!\,\alpha_2! \cdots \alpha_d!$, and

$$\mathcal{D}^\alpha \mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}(x) \fallingdotseq \mathcal{D}_1^{\alpha_1} \mathcal{D}_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \mathcal{D}_d^{\alpha_d} \mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}(x) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{\alpha_2} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}\right)^{\alpha_d} \mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}(x).$$

We observe that for any multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_d) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d$,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}^\alpha \mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}}(\xi) = i^{|\alpha|} \xi^\alpha \, (1 - |\xi|^2)_+^{\delta(p)}, \tag{2.4}$$

where we denote by $\xi^\alpha = \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \xi_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \xi_d^{\alpha_d}$. For $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d$, we set $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^{\delta(p)}(x) = \mathcal{D}^\alpha \mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}(x)$. Then we note that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}_\alpha^{\delta(p)}}(\xi) = i^{|\alpha|} \xi^\alpha \, \varphi(\xi) \, (1 - |\xi|^2)_+^{\delta(p)} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a function supported in the ball $\mathcal{B}(0; 2)$ so that $\varphi(\xi) \equiv 1$ on the unit ball $\mathcal{B}(0; 1)$. Then we obtain that

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha^{\delta(p)}(x) = \mathcal{H}_\alpha * \mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}(x) \tag{2.6}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_\alpha}(\xi) = i^{|\alpha|} \xi^\alpha \, \varphi(\xi)$. Now it easily follows from the integration by parts that for each $M \in \mathbb{N}$, there are constants $\eta = \eta(M), \mu = \mu(M) > 0$ such that for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d$,

$$|\mathcal{H}_\alpha(x)| \le \eta \, \mu^{|\alpha|} (1 + |x|)^{-M}. \tag{2.7}$$

Here we note that $\eta = \eta(M), \mu = \mu(M) > 0$ are independent of α. We now choose $M = M_0 \eqqcolon [d/p + d + 2]$. By (2.3) and (2.7), we have that for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{K}_\alpha^{\delta(p)}(x)| &\le |\mathcal{H}_\alpha| * |\mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}|(x) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\eta(M_0) \, [\mu(M_0)]^{|\alpha|}}{(1 + |x - y|)^{d/p}} \, \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^{d/p}} \, \frac{1}{(1 + |x - y|)^{d+1}} \, dy \\ &\lesssim \frac{\eta(M_0) \, [\mu(M_0)]^{|\alpha|}}{(1 + |x|)^{d/p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^{d+1}} \, dy \\ &\lesssim \frac{\eta(M_0) \, [\mu(M_0)]^{|\alpha|}}{(1 + |x|)^{d/p}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

since $1 + |x| \le (1 + |x - y|)(1 + |y|)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Thus, by (2.8) and the multinomial theorem, we obtain that for any $N > d \, [\mu(M_0)]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha| = N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \, |\mathcal{D}^\alpha \mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}(x)| &\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| = N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \, \frac{\eta(M_0) \, [\mu(M_0)]^{|\alpha|}}{(1 + |x|)^{d/p}} \\ &= \frac{d^N \, [\mu(M_0)]^N}{N!} \, \frac{\eta(M_0)}{(1 + |x|)^{d/p}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\eta(M_0)}{(1 + |x|)^{d/p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Hence, we easily obtain the following lemma and corollary.

Lemma 2.2. *If $\delta(p) = d(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$ for $1 < p < 2d/(d + 1)$, then we have the following uniform estimate; there are constants $\mu_0 = \mu([d/p + d + 2]) > 0$ and $\eta_0 = \eta([d/p + d + 2]) > 0$ given in (2.8) such that for any $N > d \, \mu_0$,*

$$|\mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}(x)| + \sum_{|\alpha| = N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \, |\mathcal{D}^\alpha \mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}(x)| \lesssim \frac{\eta_0}{(1 + |x|)^{d/p}},$$

where $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d$ is a multi-index.

Corollary 2.3. *If $\delta(p) = d(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$ for $1 < p < 2d/(d+1)$, then we have the following uniform estimate; there is a constant $C > 0$ depending only on the dimension d and the index p such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$|\mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}(x)| + \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} |\mathcal{D}^\alpha \mathcal{K}^{\delta(p)}(x)| \lesssim \frac{C}{(1+|x|)^{d/p}},$$

where $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d$ is a multi-index.

3. The proof of Theorem 1.1.

Since the Bochner-Riesz operator $\mathfrak{R}^\delta$ is translation invariant, by duality and interpolation argument we just concentrate upon the weak type estimates of $\mathfrak{R}^\delta$ on $1 < p \leq 2$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\delta(p) = d(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$ for $1 < p < \frac{2d}{d+1}$, then we obtain the following estimate*

$$\sup_{\lambda \geq 1} \lambda^p \left| \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \,:\, |\mathfrak{R}^{\delta(p)} f(x)| > \lambda\} \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p \, dx.$$

Lemma 3.2. *If $\delta(p) = d(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$ for $1 < p < \frac{2d}{d+1}$, then we obtain the following estimate*

$$\sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} \lambda^p \left| \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \,:\, |\mathfrak{R}^{\delta(p)} f(x)| > \lambda\} \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p \, dx.$$

References

1. L. Carleson and P. Sjölin, *Oscillatory integrals and a multiplier problem for the disc*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 287–299.
2. M. Christ, *On almost everywhere convergence of Bochner-Riesz means in higher dimensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1985), 16–20.
3. C. Fefferman, *Inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators*, Acta Math. **124** (1970), 9–36.
4. ______, *The multiplier problem for the ball*, Ann. of Math. **94** (1971), 330–336.
5. ______, *A note on spherical summation multipliers*, Israel J. Math. **15** (1973), 44–52.
6. C. Herz, *On the mean inversion of Fourier and Hankel transforms*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **40** (1954), 996–999.
7. L. Hörmander, *Oscillatory integrals and multipliers on FL^p*, Ark. Math. **11** (1973), 1–11.
8. E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis; Real variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press (1993).
9. E. M. Stein and N. J. Weiss, *On the convergence of Poisson integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (1969), 35–54.
10. T. Tao, *The Bochner-Riesz conjecture implies the Restriction conjecture*, Duke Math. J. **96** (1999), 363–376.
11. P. Tomas, *Restriction theorems for the Fourier transform, Harmonic Analysis in Euclidean Space*(*G. Weiss and S. Wainger, Eds.*), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol. 35 Part I, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (1979), 111–114.
12. G. N. Watson, *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*, Cambridge University Press (1922).

Department of Mathematics Ed., Korea University, Seoul 136-701, Korea
E-mail address: `ychkim@korea.ac.kr`